CSM Abstract Guidelines for Research Reports

The process of submitting and presenting an abstract is an excellent opportunity for soliciting feedback and creating a dialogue with your colleagues regarding your current research. To increase the probability of selection of abstracts, please reference the suggestions and guidelines in this document.

This guideline is geared toward writing a Research Report for CSM. If interested in writing an abstract for a case study or case series, see “CSM Abstract Guidelines for Case Study/Case Series Reports”.

Considerations before you start writing your abstract:

A. First and foremost review and follow the guidelines set by CSM, failure to do so will result in a rejected abstract. Pay close attention to word limits and formatting instructions (CSM has a 3,125 character limit for the text of your abstract submission. This includes spaces, but not authors or institutions). Refer to the Call for Abstracts and the Definitions of Abstract Categories on the CSM abstract submission site for more information.

B. You will be graded on the following criteria:
   1) Clinical relevance and importance
   2) Sound methodology
   3) Data and analysis
   4) Conclusions
   5) Clarity of writing style

C. Specifically, the criterion will be graded by several reviewers as following and a composite score is calculated:
   - Outstanding: very well conducted, very relevant to physical therapy science/practice, novel.
   - Good: well conducted, relevant to physical therapy science/practice, some new information presented.
   - Average: well conducted, fair relevance to physical therapy science/practice, limited new information presented.
   - Poor: limitations in conduct of study, slightly relevant to physical therapy science/practice, does not provide new information
   - Unacceptable

CSM Research Report Structure and Styling Checklist:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Report for CSM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose/Hypothesis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Subjects</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Materials/Methods</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Specific details on outcome measures
Specific details on statistical analyses

Results
Outline each specific finding separately.
- State means with SEM or SD
- Include the results of statistical analysis (For example, F and p-values)
- Provide interpretation of changes within the context of MDC and/or MCID values when appropriate

Conclusions
Major findings with interpretation.
- Use a final sentence that reads “In conclusion…

Clinical Relevance
State how the findings of your study will impact clinical practice.

Final Submission Tips:
- Proofread—print your abstract and review it with a critical eye to ensure the absence of typographical or content errors.

Common Pitfalls of Unsuccessful Abstract Submissions:
- Using commercial names for therapeutic agents; always use generic names.
- Citing references in the abstract.
- Submitting duplicated work.
- Including the author’s degrees or titles.
- Including obvious information or redundant information in the background section, know your audience [e.g. physical therapists are familiar with the Berg Balance Scale].

Example of Successful Submissions at CSM:
CSM Poster Example
CSM Platform Example

This document is a compilation of guidelines and suggestions from professional experience and the following sources:
Nursing Symposium: Writing Good Abstracts
http://www.easterbrook.ca/steve/?p=1279

**Disclaimer: Even if you follow these instructions there is no guarantee that your abstract will be accepted**